

**JANUARY 28, 2008**

**5:00 P.M.**

At 5:00 p.m. the **Sandusky City Commission** held a **regular meeting** in the City Building Commission Chambers, 222 Meigs Street, with City Commissioners Dan Kaman, Craig Stahl, Dennis Murray, Brett Fuqua, Brian Crandall, Julie Farrar and Dave Waddington in attendance; as well as the Charter Officers of the City Manager Matthew D. Kline, Law Director Don Icsman, Finance Director Ed Widman and the Clerk of the City Commission B. Joyce Brown; the Administrative Staff of the Director of Engineering Services Kathy McKillips, Police Chief Kim Nuesse, Fire Chief Mike Meinzer, Administrative Services Director Warrenette Parthemore, Economic Development Specialist Scott Schell, Senior Economic Development Advisor Don Miers and Senior Accountant/Auditor Hank Soloweij. It was an open meeting with the audience and the news media present.

Mr. Kaman offered the **prayer**, everyone stood for the **Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag**; and the Clerk called the **roll** with all Commissioners present.

Mr. Waddington moved to accept the **minutes of the January 14, 2008 meeting**. Mr. Crandall seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

**Teen LEADS** – introduction of students from Sandusky, Perkins, SMCC & Margaretta

**Audience Participation** – John Ginty, 5055 Providence Drive – agenda comments.

**PROCLAMATION – CATHOLIC SCHOOLS WEEK** – January 27<sup>th</sup> February 2<sup>nd</sup>

**PRESENTATION – Residential Wind Turbines of North Coast Wind & Power**  
Daryl Stockburger, Chief Project Consultant

**PUBLIC HEARING – 2008 Budget** – Mr. Matt Kline, City Manager, said we are presenting the **Annual Appropriations for the 2008 Budget**. Mr. Jim Ruthsatz, CPA, is the chair of our Audit/Finance Committee. That committee, Don Miers, Ed Widman, Hank Soloweij and I have met several times and it's a lot of work with team efforts from the Department Heads. We have practiced very conservative principles and tonight is just an introduction to show the public and everyone for the first time. Please take it, read it, digest it and I would like to work with the Commission over the course of the next couple of weeks until the next Commission meeting.

Mr. Kline said we are estimating approximately a 3% drop in revenues, but we have held our expenses to just a 2½% increase. That was a lot of hard work to keep those costs down, especially considering our normal wage increases, our health care costs have increased, as have our contributions for the retirement system.

Mr. Kline said there is a General Fund Executive Summary. Our budget this year will be what you would term Deficit Spending, meaning our expenses will exceed our revenues as we projected. From a professional standpoint, obviously that's not acceptable to me. We will be working very hard and focusing on doing what we can to increase our revenues, and of course holding the reins tightly when it comes to spending within all of our departments.

Mr. Kline said there is a proposal on the table to implement revenue enhancement, to try to offset some of those fiscal costs and try to come closer in balancing our budget. Part of tonight's presentation we're looking at our energy costs as a City as a whole, it has continuously increased. Example; in 2007 just our Street Lighting Program alone, that we all enjoy having on all of our streets, cost the City \$210,000. We are proposing a temporary surcharge, a three-year program that for the first year would be a \$2.50 additional charge, but we determined the energy and safety concerns of keeping our street lights on per our utility customers per month. We are proposing it would have a sunset clause, which would mean that the legislation would end as the Finance/Audit Committee recommended. It would be a three-year sunset clause, with the requirement that it would have to be reviewed during each budget cycle in 2009 and 2010. We have been working with Honeywell Corporation and decided to reduce our costs. As Commissioner Waddington has pointed out the energy savings with the changes at the Greenhouse, we will also be trying to incorporate some type of program in which those streetlights can be changed out to have better efficiency, but there are some costs involved in being able to do that.

Mr. Kline said the General Fund Executive Summary has many important considerations, especially when it comes to the loss of our revenues this year. We have experienced a decline in income tax receipts. We experienced for the first time in 2007 the “no credit” in income tax, which reduces revenues. But that legislation committed that money to strategic implementation of our overall Strategic Plan.

Mr. Kline said we did see a bump in 2007 in the Estate Tax. Some individuals who have passed away and we collected a small pay tax, which was quite sizable. Obviously that was something that you can never predict. So we have gone back to our general practice of staying conservative on our estimates for that. Last year alone the Estate Tax bumped us up surprisingly at the cost of \$275,000.

Mr. Kline said our third major loss when it comes to projected revenues will be in, with today’s economy and what the federal reserve has done over the course of the last year, projecting an interest income loss to the tune of around \$108,000. Of course these are all items that we should take into consideration.

**Mr. Kline introduced Mr. Al Nickles who serves as Chairman of the Audit/Finance Committee.** Mr. Nickles said the Commission and public should realize Mr. Kline had to hit the street running very quickly, the people in Finance are a credit, and Mr. Miars has left no stone unturned. But when the day is done, there are only so many dollars that are going to come into this City and that becomes the problem. Last year we got a bump in City income tax because Delphi had a buyout and part of that was taxable. Something that is going to slow down for the City income tax is on Tyler Street, the hospital spent approximately \$147 million on its expansion, a lot of contractors were hired and were paying City income tax. That expansion is now winding down and we are just about ready to open up the towers and we don’t have the workforce over there that we had in 2005, 2006 and 2007. Mr. Nickles then gave background on the committee. He said there were four CPA’s and all were used to looking at budgets and numbers and what they tell you is the true and what you see is a bare-bones budget. Discussion.

Mr. Murray said an item in the communication indicated that, “...a *compensatory liability toward our employees in the amount of \$2,064,244...*” I’m not sure if these are two-\$2 million figures? My understanding is that this represents the fact that accounting has a funny policy where we know there’s liabilities out there and we know that we are going to have to pay the piper, but we don’t include it on our balance sheet. I wonder whether that is a good accounting practice and I understand that is dictated for us by the State and other accounting institutions. Mr. Nickles said basically, it’s a liability that we have in the future. You have to understand that once a year we do an accrual basis in accounting, a CAFR Report. It’s available to everyone in June or July and very simplistically, it’s the cash basis as opposed to accrual basis. The cash basis is we get paid on Friday and our paycheck is 100 bucks and we pay our bills that weekend and our bills are \$80, we have \$20 left over. That’s the true picture of the cash basis. With the accrual basis it has an employee that puts his time and service in, he accrues sick time, vacation time, and comp time. Those are items that we will owe that employee when they leave and we do not fund that currently. And Mr. Widman and I have batted around the terminology of whether we call it unfunded or not, but basically there’s liability out there that hangs over us that at some point in time, we’re going to have to pay the piper. And if we have older employees and all of a sudden in the next couple years they start retiring, we could end up with a lot of cash going out that basically we have not set aside for. Mr. Murray said that’s my concern. Over the course of the last five, six years, we have attempted to meet some of the revenue shortfalls by not replacing people as they retired or left. So naturally as a result of that practice, it’s just automatic, you’re going to end up with a slightly older and older workforce. Very valuable in terms of the experience and skills that they bring to the job, but it just increases the size of this unfunded liability. I think it’s a problem with our compensation system in the City. I think what you are highlighting here, that it makes it all more important that we would reform some of the practices in 145 that have built up over the years.

Mr. Nickles said and we also have to remember as we look at our expenses, there’s some basic safety services that you just have to have. If we don’t have any firemen and your house is burning down, you’re probably going to be a little bit upset. Or if your house is getting robbed and there are no policemen to help you, you’re mad. So that is what happens when you’re looking at a budget, bare bones as possible. We have literally looked at every single line item. Discussion ensued.

Mr. Crandall asked did anyone have a current liability ratio? The Comprehensive Report in '01 had \$1.74 for every dollar that we had. Then in '06 we had \$1.67 for every dollar we owed. When you look at '07 numbers it can give the public a better picture of what we're dealing with. Mr. Nickles said the only time you're going to know that is after the CAFR Report is finished for full accrual. Discussion ensued.

Mr. Murray said I would appreciate a summary of department by department of how the revenue has changed going back to 2004 through projections of 2008. Mr. Kline said in preparing the packet tonight, which I got down here about 4:15, I forgot that summary sitting on my desk. So I will have an addendum for everyone, but it starts out with an Executive Summary on the General Fund. I've broken it down and budgets are usually numbers, which doesn't tell the story. We've tried to break it down into ten categories of departments, divisions, a small narrative of what we do, what we are attempting to do in 2008 with our budget. Then on the very back page you will see these all written out, typical of what your reconciliation and all total funds that total out to our \$5 million budget for the City.

Mr. Murray said I'm anxious to hear if the Commission has other thoughts in terms of how we should proceed. I assume at the next meeting we would be looking at proposed legislation to approve the budget. Mr. Kaman said we just got this. I hope we have more discussion on alternatives like Mr. Crandall brought up because right off the bat we're hitting the residents by proposing \$2.50 per month per utility customer, a surcharge. I don't support that. And with our numbers going down, we used to be 30,000 (census) and now it's 26 some thousand and their incomes are going down. People are having a hard time making a go of it, fixing up their houses and here again, the first is something little, \$2.50 per month. But to some people that's just added on to the school levy, added onto this, added on to fuel charges. I look forward to the next two weeks hearing from staff, different ideas. I know we have to come up with some of our own, but at first look I just don't like that part. Mr. Crandall said I would prefer deferring until the last meeting in February. That would give time for plenty of public input and meet the requirements of our Charter.

Mr. Miers said we were mentioned as being the conduit, but I would be remiss if I didn't say the department heads were the catalyst for the numbers. They were given a set of objectives that we wanted to work under and they met those.

President Murray said with some of the comments at the table, perhaps it would be a little premature and we can take it up at the next meeting. Mr. Widman said you would not be able to pass the budget until the first meeting in March per our Charter. So you could do it the first meeting in March. Discussion. Mr. Stahl said if that is the case, the Finance Committee needs to get to work and have a few special meetings to look at the budget. I'm looking for guidance, would you want an alternate budget? Mr. Kaman said I've been outvoted in raising taxes before, but yet they passed anyway. So if that's the way it goes, it's got to be the best of seven. We've just need to come up with other ideas, other than ideas that we have talked about since I've been on the past four years. President Murray said I'm in the same position, I don't know whether this is something I support or not. There's a lot to read and digest. So it's probably a little early to think about an alternative budget.

Mr. Waddington said we should be mindful we may be looking at a storm water utility charge in the coming year too. So this \$2.50 could be compounded. Mr. Crandall asked what amount of money would that generate? Mr. Kline said what we were doing right there was proposing it. It was under the assumption that it would not be able to be implemented until at least March. So for 10 months that would generate approximately \$250,000. Mr. Stahl said the Finance Committee stated that this was not an open-ended way of collecting money, we felt three years was adequate. We have to be creative in more ways to generate revenues. There's not a whole lot more we can cut out of the budget without drastically cutting services.

## **CURRENT BUSINESS**

Mr. Crandall moved to accept all communications. Mr. Kaman seconded, carried.

**ITEM #1** – Communication – Kim A. Nuesse, Chief of Police

**BUDGETARY INFORMATION:** Grant funds received would be placed into an account for grant funds in the Police Department Budget to be expended in agreement with the terms in the grant application submitted to the Mylander Foundation.

**RESOLUTION NO. 003-08R - Approving and ratifying a Grant Application submitted to the Mylander Foundation for the Sandusky Police Department's Narcotics Investigative Unit and authorizing the expenditure of Grant Funds**

**Awarded.** It was moved by Mr. Crandall and seconded by Mr. Kaman that this Resolution be passed under suspension of rules in full accordance of City Charter Section 14. **Discussion** - Mr. Waddington said on behalf of the Commission, I would like to thank the Mylander Foundation for this gift. Thereupon the Clerk called the roll twice, first on the motion and then final passage of the Resolution: Yeas: Kaman, Stahl, Murray, Fuqua, Crandall, Farrar, Waddington, 7. Nays: 0. Passed.

**ITEM #2** – Communication – Ed Widman, Finance Director

**BUDGETARY INFORMATION:** This submerged lands lease is payable by the City of Sandusky as the leaseholder. Sandusky Bay Investment (SBI) reimburses the City for the cost of the lease pursuant to their agreement with the City. In the past, the City would request SBI to pay the lease on the City's behalf. Last year ODNR prohibited this process. Since the amount is over \$10,000 it should be approved by the City Commission. This lease is subject to be reviewed every five years and this review would next occur for the 2010-2011 lease payment.

**ORDINANCE NO. 08-004 - Make payment to the Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR), Office of Coastal Management for Rental Payment on Submerged Lands Lease File No. SUB-0385-ER in an amount not to exceed \$13,068.00.** It was moved by Mr. Waddington and seconded by Mr. Crandall that this Ordinance be passed under suspension of rules in full accordance of Section 14 of the City Charter. Thereupon the Clerk called the roll twice, first on the motion and then final passage of the Ordinance as follows: Yeas: Kaman, Stahl, Murray, Fuqua, Crandall, Farrar, Waddington, 7. Nays: 0. The Ordinance passed.

**ITEM #3** – Communication – Kathryn K. McKillips, Director of Engineering Services

**BUDGETARY INFORMATION:** The cost of the Engineering Services is not to exceed \$178,000.00. The additional service fee will be paid with Water Funds.

**ORDINANCE NO. 08-005 - Execute an agreement for Professional Engineering Services with Arcadis U.S., Inc., for the Follet Street and Mills Street Elevated Water Tank Project.** It was moved by Mr. Stahl and seconded by Mr. Waddington that this Ordinance be passed under suspension of rules in full accordance of City Charter Section 14. **Discussion** – Mr. Kaman said this doesn't include side work that goes along with the Mills Creek tank, I'm asking for Arcadis and our Engineering Department to make sure local businesses are aware of those bids going out. The President said for clarification on the work. Mr. Kaman said painting, repairs to ladders, hatches, railings, fencing, and miscellaneous repairs. The Clerk called the roll twice, first on the motion and then final passage of the Ordinance: Yeas: Kaman, Stahl, Murray, Fuqua, Crandall, Farrar, Waddington, 7. Nays: 0. Passed.

**City Manager's Report** - Mr. Matt Kline said it is requested **donations** be accepted for the **Sandusky Police Department K-9 Unit**; Jean Holhzaepahl - \$50; \$20 Donna Sharp and Roy Fox. Mr. Kaman said moved, Mr. Waddington seconded, carried.

Mr. Kline said **\$300 from George Mylander for the Sandusky Fire Department.** Mr. Kaman said so moved. Mr. Waddington seconded, carried.

Mr. Kline said a **\$3,170 donation from Wightman/Wieber Foundation for Sandusky Fire Department Explorer Program.** Mr. Kaman said so moved. Mr. Waddington seconded, carried.

Under **Old Business**, Mr. Waddington said on the **"No Smoking Policy"** I contacted Mr. Icsman and from our research, the last policy that the City had enacted was from February 5, 1996. Under definitions it's a one-sheet page. Under tobacco products we got, *"Any product used for the purpose of smoking."* I would like to add chewing tobacco or snuff, whatever they call the smokeless brand. And then for policy statement on Section A., it says *"The use of tobacco products is prohibited in all municipal facilities. (Note: Department Heads may authorize a designated location for employees to smoke in City garage areas."* The garage is part of this municipal building. It's not detached so I would like to see that area eliminated as far as the smoking. And then B, *"The use of tobacco products is prohibited in all City vehicles except that Department Heads may permit smoking..."* I don't understand what that is about. I just don't think it looks good to be standing in the garage and have residents drive by. I know it's a free country and we all have our choice, but it's the state law. This needs updated. I like the County's policy. Mr. Icsman said I would agree that just by the passage of the new statewide law that was voted in, the policy

has to change. And it seems the best way to do it is to do it like the County did through a committee. I think we have a committee similar, which would get the unions to help formulate the policies or changes or the new policy. It would get their buy-in and probably would not be very problematic to do that through the Health Benefits Committee. Discussion ensued. **Mr. Waddington said I would like to make a motion for staff to work on that policy. Mr. Crandall seconded.** **Discussion** - Mrs. Farrar said just so when they discuss this, I am a reformed smoker. I know what it's like to want to have a cigarette. I understand we aren't going to smoke in our buildings, garages and our vehicles. That's fine, but could we at least allow them an outside area to have a cigarette if they want to have a cigarette on their authorized break?

Mr. Kaman said my only concern, if we just match it to the state of Ohio I'm fine with that. What's the break situation? What's the time away from work situation? I just know that in private business, there is no smoking allowed period. So except for any breaks, you leave the property. I don't know how we're going to monitor that either. I can see other employees complaining because of the non-smokers are complaining because of the time away from work by others. Mrs. Farrar said that's the department heads' job to see if their employees exceed their break-time. Mr. Icsman said as to that issue, it isn't the way we have to follow it. Mr. Kaman is correct. The state law would be a little bit room. I think that the County's position is that if they pay them for the break, then they are going to prohibit the smoke. If they do not pay for lunch break, after work, then they can smoke. And then you would be limited by the state law in terms of proximity to ingress and egress of these buildings in terms of where you can smoke. And as to the public, they would simply be asking for a volunteer compliance, but the policy would have no legal effect on the public visiting the City properties. So if we do it as the County and the State law, we still might run into that issue as to what to do with the breaks. Mr. Murray said there's a smoker's alley that you've got to plow through when you come to the building. I don't think that it is employees. Mr. Icsman said we had to take all of the receptacles and ashtrays out when everything passed. But they didn't really clarify it. They said you can not smoke in ingress and egress areas adjacent to them. Mrs. Parthemore said we did post a large sign saying "no smoking" in the entry area and that seems to have helped somewhat, especially with people dropping their discarded cigarettes. Discussion ensued. **The President asked for a vote on the motion, and with all Commissioners voting "Aye" the motion carried.**

Mr. Kaman asked for an update on the **Steuk property**. Has anyone gotten back to the owner and found out what we need to do with this storefront? Now it's not just the roof that's caving in, but the front plate glass windows and the small windows are all breaking because the roof structure is coming down and causing stress. Mr. Scott Schell said I have tried on several occasions to contact the owner through their real estate representative, which is C.B. Richard Ellis in Cleveland. Asked him numerous times to please contact us with some kind of plan to secure the structures. To this date they have not responded to C.B. Richard Ellis' demands. I have called at the numbers I have for one of the owners and he has not responded to me as well. Mr. Kaman asked did the main storefront, where they sold apples? Mr. Kline said when the Steuk property issue was brought up, I had instructed with let's go about it instead of just issuing through our city officials, let's attempt contacting the property owner via their real estate agent at the numbers that we had. I instructed Engineering Friday that if Scott had not been successful at hearing anything, starting today, Monday we would start our regular process of going through the building, the commercial end of it. Our Housing end has already been out there. Now starting today, today was the drop-dead date. We haven't heard from them. So through Kathy's office we will institute our regular policies. Mr. Kaman said I would just like to see that cleaned up by summer if possible. I know it's going to be tough now with four months away, but it's looking worse and worse every month. Mr. Kline said well one of the four buildings are down now. Discussion.

President Murray asked how soon would we be at the point that we could have a wrecking ball outside of that building? Mrs. McKillips said it could be possibly a two-month, three-month process. When we have the funds to actually do the process. Mr. Kline said that is always the problem, the actual funds to tear it down. A lot of the times the reason we don't want to tear down those properties is because the cost of demolition exceeds the properties... President Murray interrupted and said that

isn't true here. I had suggested in the past with respect to other commercial buildings, I don't really know why you can't see the bonds that funds the demolition of the property of the structure. And whoever it is that the bondholder is gets some kind of maybe double the rate of interest or something like that. It's up to them to decide whether or not they want to invest. That then becomes a lien on the property and it would be a great tool in terms of being able to encourage the property owner to go tear it down, does anyone know if we can do that in Ohio at all? Mr. Kline said one of the things that we can do, even if we need to borrow the money to tear down the property, as long as we went through the proper certifications with the County, we would simply put a lien on the property. Which we would be the first person paid if and when that property would ever be sold. Discussion ensued.

Mr. Kaman said that building literally is in worst shape than the Keller Building. It's a wooden structure. The roof is caving in. There's a nice air conditioner up on the roof that one of these days is going to be inside the building. And there is not much holding it up there. I don't know who caused the fire or what caused the fire out at the house, but somebody is going to get hurt out there for sure.

Mr. Miers said right after I came on board there was a lot of discussion about this building back then. There is two sets of condemnation plans. One for the housing, which Carrie Handy is taking care of and one for the building that involves the Building Official. I think that there was some concern that our Commercial Policy at one time was not being properly followed and that we needed to develop a set of plans to make it happen in a proper way. I believe through the efforts of Amanda and the Law Office that some of that came about. And I did personally have conversations with the owner of this building and told him some of the issues that we are talking about tonight. And of course his response was that he had some form of a review by an estimator that told him that there wasn't much wrong with the building and I did not buy his conversation. I told him that we don't buy it. However, with that being said, I think that there's something that needs to be done, and it does have to come through the commercial end of our requirements as far as the condemnation and making sure that everything is followed in a consistent manner. I think that we do have the power to do some of the things that you are talking about. I just think we have to make sure before we do those things that it's done consistently so we don't have egg on our face when it does happen. But I agree the building does need to come down and the sooner we can make that happen, the better off everybody will be.

Mr. Waddington said **Section 145** maybe whoever is going to be working on this could give us some direction, because I don't think it's too much to digest tonight. President Murray said we have talked about 145 as a Commission shortly after Mr. Crandall, Mr. Stahl and I joined the Commission now better than two years ago. We appointed some committees, looked at it and we've individually taken some action to make suggestions. Where we were with it last we had decided that we were going to await results of the Job Audit before taking action on 145, which we may get the results of sometime later this year or next year. And I look at this unfunded liability that we have on the balance sheet and it bothers me and it doesn't seem like a good way to do business. There's a lot of other things about 145. It's just a minefield for protection problems between the union and the City Manager. It's just so much discretion in 145 that it sort of sets us up for a problem. A while back I took what I thought were all of the comments that I heard regarding 145, but I marked up the missing ordinance. I've given that to Mr. Icsman and I believe his office has put that into a red-lined form so that we can all see the changes. **I would like to ask Mr. Icsman maybe in the form of a motion, to provide to us legislation that requests the changes that I had provided to you in red lined form some time ago.** So that we can go ahead and consider that and start having discussions. Mr. Waddington said I agree with you, but I guess the biggest thing is like travel. **Mr. Kaman said I second your motion.** I'm looking forward to getting this ball rolling again and back on track. **Discussion** – Mr. Crandall said there is one item that I feel hasn't opened up and that is 145.27(g) because it appears as though an employee can work here, terminate employment, be hired back and then he/she could be credited as though they never left. President Murray said Mr. Crandall for clarification that pertains to things such as vacation time, sick time and other benefits that are available to employees as long as they have been here. **President Murray then asked for a vote on the motion, and with all Commissioners voting "Aye", the motion carried.**

Under **New Business**, Mr. Stahl said requested an **executive session on pending litigation**. Mr. Waddington seconded. The Clerk called the roll: Yes: Kaman, Stahl, Murray, Fuqua, Crandall, Farrar, Waddington, 7. Nays: 0. The motion passed.

Mr. Kaman said Erie Regional Planning Commission last week supported the **City of Vermilion’s plan to designate Vermilion River as the State’s Scenic River**. I would like staff to bring back legislation in two weeks supporting Vermilion’s request. This is similar to what we did at the Canoe Livery. **Mr. Crandall seconded. President Murray asked for a vote on the motion and with all Commissioners voting “Aye”, the motion carried.**

Mr. Stahl said I would move for an **executive session on personnel, Bargaining Unit**. Mr. Crandall seconded. The Clerk called the roll: Yes: Kaman, Stahl, Murray, Fuqua, Crandall, Farrar, Waddington, 7. Nays: 0. The motion passed.

Mr. Stahl said I would make a motion for an **executive session on pending litigation**. Mr. Crandall seconded. The Clerk called the roll: Yes: Kaman, Stahl, Murray, Fuqua, Crandall, Farrar, Waddington, 7. Nays: 0. The motion passed.

Mr. Widman said it was not unfunded. The correct term was compensated absences. It was used in our GAAP Report in numerous places and I think to add to that the term unfunded is not proper. Discussion ensued.

Under **Audience Participation**, Mr. Tim Schwanger, 362 Sheffield Way, commented that he had never come to a public hearing and not been able to speak; permissive taxes or creative financing ways to increase revenue; and the \$26,000 submerged land lease for Battery Park and if there was forgiveness of payment for them.

Mr. Barry Riddle, 1338 Fifth Street, commented on unfunding that we should have done long ago where we could have drawn interest; changes to streetlights and the saving costs to help our budget; and the state law on smoking and taking breaks at work.

As there were no further comments from the audience, President Murray said they would take a five-minute break. At 7:05 p.m. President Murray, the Commission and the Administrative staff returned to the table.

An executive session was held on pending litigation.

An executive session was held on pending litigation, Housing Department.  
(Mr. Fuqua left the chambers as a family member was involved on the issue.)

An executive session was held on personnel, bargaining unit.

At 8:05 p.m. President Murray returned to the table and entertained motions for adjournment. Mr. Crandall said so moved. Seconded by Mr. Fuqua and carried.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
B. JOYCE BROWN  
CLERK OF THE CITY COMMISSION

\_\_\_\_\_  
DENNIS E. MURRAY, JR., PRESIDENT  
SANDUSKY CITY COMMISSION